RG104 E-1 Box 141 Jul-Sep 1886

Treasury Department,

BUREAU OF THE MINT,

Washington, D. C., Deptember 14, 1886.

Dir.

In reply to your letter of the 11th wish calling attention to the fact that the list of places to which minor coins could be transported as stated in the letter of this Bureau of the 10th inst. does not agree with the list jumished you by Mr. No. 13. arrison the attorney of the adams Express Co. in change of mint Insiness in your city, I would state that on referring to the original contract, I find that Jeyas was accidentally amitted in my letter as it was embraced in the original

In regard to the amendment of the original contract made November 17, 1882

to which Mr. arrison calls attention, I would state that under date of October 26, 1882, the Oecretary of the Treasury accepted the proposition from Mr. Henry Danford, General Ouperintendent of the adams Express Co. to amend the original contract so as to include points in the States of Kansas, Nebraska, Colorado and the Territories of New Mexico and Nakota reached by Wills Hango To's Express and that on the 26th of april 1884, notice was given the Department that Wells Jargo To had withdrawn as a party to the Contract, so that the contract now stands as originally made.

In the original contract no provision appears to have been made for the transportation of minor coins to points in Arkansas, but if the Adams Express Co

RG104 E-1 Box 141 Jul-Sep 1886 can reach points in that state through their connections, at the rate provided to be paid for Louisiana, namely 31/2 per cent. you will ship by express, as well as to any other points to which the charges will not exceed 41/2 per cent. Very respectfully, acting Wirector.

Hom. Daniel M. Hay, Dupt. W. J. Mint, Philadelphia. Dreasury Department,

BUREAU OF THE MINT,

Sept- 14, 1886

R. E. Breston acting Director.

Relative to the fact stated La Supis dellet of 11. mst. that the List of places to which In. Co can be transported as Stated in Letter from Bureau of 19" does not abree with List furnished by mon H B. Carreson, attorney of astions Express Ca.

No. of Enclosures,

[Abstract:] Relative to the fact stated in Supt.'s letter of 11 inst...

Treasury Department, Bureau of the Mint, Washington, D.C., September 14, 1886

Sir:

In reply to your letter of the 11th inst. calling attention to the fact that the list of places to which minor coins could be transported as stated in the letter of this Bureau of the 10th inst. does not agree with the list furnished you by Mr. N.B. Arrison the attorney of the Adams Express Co. in charge of Mint business in your city, I would state that on referring to the original contract, I find that Texas was accidentally omitted in my letter as it was embraced in the original contract.

In regard to the amendment of the original contract made November 17, 1882 to which Mr. Arrison calls attention, I would state that under date of October 26, 1882, the Secretary of the Treasury accepted the proposition from Mr. Henry Sanford, General Superintendent of the Adams Express Co. to amend the original contract so as to include points in the states of Kansas, Nebraska, Colorado and the Territories of New Mexico and Dakota reached by Wells Fargo & Co.'s Express and that on the 26th of April 1884, notice was given the Department that Wells Fargo & Co. had withdrawn as a party to the contract, so that the contract now stands as originally made.

In the original contract no provision appears to have been made for the transportation of minor coins to points in Arkansas, but if the Adams Express Co. can reach points in that state through their connections, at the rate provided to be paid for Louisiana, namely 3 ½ per cent. you will ship by express, as well as to any other points to which the charges will not exceed 4 ½ per cent.

Very respectfully, R.E. Preston Acting Director.

Hon. Daniel M. Fox, Supt. U.S. Mint, Philadelphia.